



# Comparative genomics of the nonlegume *Parasponia* reveals insights into evolution of nitrogen-fixing rhizobium symbioses

Robin van Velzen<sup>a,1</sup>, Rens Holmer<sup>a,b,1</sup>, Fengjiao Bu<sup>a,2</sup>, Luuk Rutten<sup>a,2</sup>, Arjan van Zeijl<sup>a</sup>, Wei Liu<sup>c</sup>, Luca Santuari<sup>a</sup>, Qingqin Cao<sup>a,d</sup>, Trupti Sharma<sup>a</sup>, Defeng Shen<sup>a</sup>, Yuda Roswanjaya<sup>a</sup>, Titis A. K. Wardhani<sup>a</sup>, Maryam Seifi Kalhor<sup>a</sup>, Joelle Jansen<sup>a</sup>, Johan van den Hoogen<sup>a</sup>, Berivan Güngör<sup>a</sup>, Marijke Hartog<sup>a</sup>, Jan Hontelez<sup>a</sup>, Jan Verver<sup>a</sup>, Wei-Cai Yang<sup>c</sup>, Elio Schijlen<sup>e</sup>, Rimi Repin<sup>f</sup>, Menno Schilthuizen<sup>g,h,i</sup>, M. Eric Schranz<sup>j</sup>, Renze Heidstra<sup>a</sup>, Kana Miyata<sup>a</sup>, Elena Fedorova<sup>a</sup>, Wouter Kohlen<sup>a</sup>, Ton Bisseling<sup>a</sup>, Sandra Smit<sup>b</sup>, and Rene Geurts<sup>a,3</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Department of Plant Sciences, Wageningen University, 6708 PB, Wageningen, The Netherlands; <sup>b</sup>Bioinformatics Group, Department of Plant Sciences, Wageningen University, 6708 PB, Wageningen, The Netherlands; <sup>c</sup>Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China; <sup>d</sup>College of Biological Science and Engineering & Beijing Collaborative Innovation Center for Eco-Environmental Improvement with Forestry and Fruit Trees, Beijing University of Agriculture, Beijing 102206, China; <sup>e</sup>Bioscience, Wageningen University and Research, 6708 PB, Wageningen, The Netherlands; <sup>f</sup>Sabah Parks, 88806 Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia; <sup>g</sup>Naturalis Biodiversity Center, 2333 CR, Leiden, The Netherlands; <sup>h</sup>Institute for Tropical Biology and Conservation, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, 88999 Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia; <sup>i</sup>Institute for Biology Leiden, Leiden University, 2333 BE, Leiden, The Netherlands; and <sup>j</sup>Biosystematics Group, Department of Plant Sciences, Wageningen University, 6708 PB, Wageningen, The Netherlands

Edited by Douglas E. Soltis, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, and approved April 6, 2018 (received for review December 12, 2017)

Nodules harboring nitrogen-fixing rhizobia are a well-known trait of legumes, but nodules also occur in other plant lineages, with rhizobia or the actinomycete *Frankia* as microsymbiont. It is generally assumed that nodulation evolved independently multiple times. However, molecular-genetic support for this hypothesis is lacking, as the genetic changes underlying nodule evolution remain elusive. We conducted genetic and comparative genomics studies by using *Parasponia* species (Cannabaceae), the only nonlegumes that can establish nitrogen-fixing nodules with rhizobium. Intergeneric crosses between *Parasponia andersonii* and its nonnodulating relative *Trema tomentosa* demonstrated that nodule organogenesis, but not intracellular infection, is a dominant genetic trait. Comparative transcriptomics of *P. andersonii* and the legume *Medicago truncatula* revealed utilization of at least 290 orthologous symbiosis genes in nodules. Among these are key genes that, in legumes, are essential for nodulation, including *NODULE INCEPTION (NIN)* and *RHIZOBIUM-DIRECTED POLAR GROWTH (RPG)*. Comparative analysis of genomes from three *Parasponia* species and related nonnodulating plant species show evidence of parallel loss in nonnodulating species of putative orthologs of *NIN*, *RPG*, and *NOD FACTOR PERCEPTION*. Parallel loss of these symbiosis genes indicates that these nonnodulating lineages lost the potential to nodulate. Taken together, our results challenge the view that nodulation evolved in parallel and raises the possibility that nodulation originated ~100 Mya in a common ancestor of all nodulating plant species, but was subsequently lost in many descendant lineages. This will have profound implications for translational approaches aimed at engineering nitrogen-fixing nodules in crop plants.

symbiosis | biological nitrogen fixation | evolution | comparative genomics | copy number variation

Nitrogen sources such as nitrate or ammonia are key nutrients for plant growth, but their availability is frequently limited. Some plant species in the related orders Fabales, Fagales, Rosales, and Cucurbitales—collectively known as the nitrogen-fixing clade—can overcome this limitation by establishing a nitrogen-fixing endosymbiosis with *Frankia* or rhizobium bacteria (1). These symbioses require specialized root organs, known as nodules, that provide optimal physiological conditions for nitrogen fixation (2). For example, nodules of legumes (Fabaceae, order Fabales) contain a high concentration of hemoglobin that is essential to control oxygen homeostasis and protect the rhizobial nitrogenase enzyme complex from oxidation (2, 3). Legumes, such as soybean (*Glycine max*), common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*), and peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*), represent the only crops that possess nitrogen-fixing nodules, and engi-

neering this trait in other crop plants is a long-term vision in sustainable agriculture (4, 5).

Nodulating plants represent ~10 related clades that diverged >100 Mya, supporting a shared evolutionary origin of the underlying capacity for this trait (1). Nevertheless, these nodulating clades are interspersed with many nonnodulating lineages. This has led to two hypotheses explaining the evolution of nodulation (1). The first is that nodulation has a single origin in the root of the nitrogen-fixation clade, followed by multiple independent losses.

## Significance

Fixed nitrogen is essential for plant growth. Some plants, such as legumes, can host nitrogen-fixing bacteria within cells in root organs called nodules. Nodules are considered to have evolved in parallel in different lineages, but the genetic changes underlying this evolution remain unknown. Based on gene expression in the nitrogen-fixing nonlegume *Parasponia andersonii* and the legume *Medicago truncatula*, we find that nodules in these different lineages may share a single origin. Comparison of the genomes of *Parasponia* with those of related nonnodulating plants reveals evidence of parallel loss of genes that, in legumes, are essential for nodulation. Taken together, this raises the possibility that nodulation originated only once and was subsequently lost in many descendant lineages.

Author contributions: T.B., S.S., and R.G. designed research; R.v.V., R. Holmer, F.B., L.R., A.v.Z., W.L., L.S., Q.C., T.S., D.S., Y.R., T.A.K.W., M.S.K., J.J., J.v.d.H., B.G., M.H., J.H., J.V., W.-C.Y., E.S., R.R., M.S., R. Heidstra, K.M., E.F., and W.K. performed research; R.v.V., R. Holmer, F.B., L.R., A.v.Z., L.S., J.J., and B.G. analyzed data; and R.v.V., R. Holmer, L.R., M.E.S., T.B., S.S., and R.G. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

This open access article is distributed under [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 \(CC BY-NC-ND\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Data deposition: The sequences reported in this paper have been deposited in the GenBank database (accession nos. [PRJNA272473](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty123) and [PRJNA272482](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty124)). Draft genome assemblies, phylogenetic datasets, and orthogroup data are available from the Dryad Digital Repository (<https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.fq7gv88>). All custom scripts and code are available online at [https://github.com/holmreiser/parasponia\\_code](https://github.com/holmreiser/parasponia_code).

<sup>1</sup>R.v.V. and R. Holmer contributed equally to this work.

<sup>2</sup>F.B. and L.R. contributed equally to this work.

<sup>3</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: [rene.geurts@wur.nl](mailto:rene.geurts@wur.nl).

This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1721395115/-DCSupplemental](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1721395115/-DCSupplemental).

The second is that nodulation originated independently multiple times, preceded by a single hypothetical predisposition event in a common ancestor of the nitrogen-fixing fixation clade. The latter of these hypotheses is more widely accepted (6–12).

Genetic dissection of rhizobium symbiosis in two legume models—*Medicago truncatula* (medicago) and *Lotus japonicus* (lotus)—has uncovered symbiosis genes that are essential for nodule organogenesis, bacterial infection, and nitrogen fixation (Dataset S1). These include genes encoding LysM-type receptors that perceive rhizobial lipochitooligosaccharides (LCOs; also known as Nod factors) and transcriptionally activate the *NODULE INCEPTION* (*NIN*) transcription factor (13–18). Expression of *NIN* is essential and sufficient to set in motion nodule organogenesis (17, 19–21). Some symbiosis genes have been coopted from the more ancient and widespread arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis (22, 23). However, causal genetic differences between nodulating and nonnodulating species have not been identified (24).

To obtain insight into the molecular-genetic changes underlying evolution of nitrogen-fixing root nodules, we conducted comparative studies by using *Parasponia* (Cannabaceae, order Rosales). The genus *Parasponia* is the only lineage outside the legume family establishing a nodule symbiosis with rhizobium (25–28). Similarly as shown for legumes, nodule formation in *Parasponia* is initiated by rhizobium-secreted LCOs (29–31). This suggests that *Parasponia* and legumes use a similar set of genes to control nodulation, but the extent of common gene use between distantly related nodulating species remains unknown. The genus *Parasponia* represents a clade of five species that is phylogenetically embedded in the closely related *Trema* genus (32). Like *Parasponia* and most other land plants, *Trema* species can establish an arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). However, they are nonresponsive to rhizobium LCOs and do not form nodules (28, 31). Taken together, *Parasponia* is an excellent system for comparative studies with legumes and nonnodulating *Trema* species to provide insights into the molecular-genetic changes underlying evolution of nitrogen-fixing root nodules.

## Results

**Nodule Organogenesis Is a Genetically Dominant Trait.** First, we took a genetics approach to understanding the rhizobium symbiosis trait of *Parasponia* by making intergeneric crosses (SI Appendix, Table S1). Viable F<sub>1</sub> hybrid plants were obtained only from the cross *Parasponia andersonii* (2n = 20) × *Trema tomentosa* (2n = 4x = 40; Fig. 1A and SI Appendix, Fig. S2). These triploid hybrids (2n = 3x = 30) were infertile, but could be propagated clonally. We noted that F<sub>1</sub> hybrid plants formed root nodules when grown in potting soil, similar to earlier observations for *P. andersonii* (33). To further investigate the nodulation phenotype of these hybrid plants, clonally propagated plants were inoculated with two different strains, *Bradyrhizobium elkanii* strain WUR3 (33) or *Mesorhizobium plurifarium* strain BOR2. The latter strain was isolated from the rhizosphere of *Trema orientalis* in Malaysian Borneo and showed to be an effective nodulator of *P. andersonii* (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). Both strains induced nodules on F<sub>1</sub> hybrid plants (Fig. 1B, D, and E and SI Appendix, Fig. S4) but, as expected, not on *T. tomentosa*, nor on any other *Trema* species investigated. By using an acetylene reduction assay, we noted that, in contrast to *P. andersonii* nodules, in F<sub>1</sub> hybrid nodules of plant H9 infected with *M. plurifarium* BOR2 there is no nitrogenase activity (Fig. 1C). To further examine this discrepancy, we studied the cytoarchitecture of these nodules. In *P. andersonii* nodules, apoplastic *M. plurifarium* BOR2 colonies infect cells to form so-called fixation threads (Fig. 1F and H–J), whereas, in F<sub>1</sub> hybrid nodules, these colonies remain apoplastic and fail to establish intracellular infections (Fig. 1G and K). To exclude the possibility that the lack of intracellular infection is caused by heterozygosity of *P. andersonii* whereby only a non-functional allele was transmitted to the F<sub>1</sub> hybrid genotype, or by the particular rhizobium strain used for this experiment, we examined five independent F<sub>1</sub> hybrid plants inoculated with *M. plurifarium*

BOR2 or *B. elkanii* WUR3. This revealed a lack of intracellular infection structures in nodules of all F<sub>1</sub> hybrid plants tested, irrespective which of the two rhizobium strains was used (Fig. 1G and K and SI Appendix, Fig. S4), confirming that heterozygosity of *P. andersonii* does not play a role in the F<sub>1</sub> hybrid infection phenotype. These results suggest, at least partly, independent genetic control of nodule organogenesis and rhizobium infection. Because F<sub>1</sub> hybrids are nodulated with similar efficiency as *P. andersonii* (Fig. 1B), we conclude that the network controlling nodule organogenesis is genetically dominant.

***Parasponia* and *Trema* Genomes Are Highly Similar.** Based on preliminary genome size estimates made by using FACS measurements, three *Parasponia* and five *Trema* species were selected for comparative genome analysis (SI Appendix, Table S2). K-mer analysis of medium-coverage genome sequence data (~30×) revealed that all genomes had low levels of heterozygosity, except those of *Trema levigata* and *T. orientalis* accession RG16 (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). Based on these k-mer data, we also generated more accurate estimates of genome sizes. Additionally, we used these data to assemble chloroplast genomes, based on which we obtained additional phylogenetic evidence that *T. levigata* is sister to *Parasponia* (Fig. 1A and SI Appendix, Figs. S6–S8). Graph-based clustering of repetitive elements in the genomes (calibrated with the genome size estimates based on k-mers) revealed that all selected species contain approximately 300 Mb of nonrepetitive sequence and a variable repeat content that correlates with the estimated genome size that ranges from 375 to 625 Mb (SI Appendix, Fig. S9 and Table S3). Notably, we found a *Parasponia*-specific expansion of *ogre/tat* LTR retrotransposons comprising 65–85 Mb (SI Appendix, Fig. S9B). We then generated annotated reference genomes by using high-coverage (~125×) sequencing of *P. andersonii* accession WU1 (30) and *T. orientalis* accession RG33 (SI Appendix, Tables S4 and S5). These species were selected based on their low heterozygosity levels in combination with relatively small genomes. *T. tomentosa* was not used for a high-quality genome assembly because it is an allotetraploid (SI Appendix, Fig. S5 and Tables S2 and S3).


We generated orthogroups for *P. andersonii* and *T. orientalis* genes and six other Eurosid species, including arabidopsis (*Arabidopsis thaliana*) and the legumes medicago and soybean. From both *P. andersonii* and *T. orientalis*, ~35,000 genes could be clustered into >20,000 orthogroups (SI Appendix, Table S6 and Dataset S2; note that there can be multiple orthologous gene pairs per orthogroup). Within these orthogroups, we identified 25,605 *P. andersonii*–*T. orientalis* orthologous gene pairs based on phylogenetic analysis as well as whole-genome alignments (SI Appendix, Table S6). These orthologous gene pairs had a median percentage nucleotide identity of 97% for coding regions (SI Appendix, Figs. S10 and S11). This further supports the recent divergence of the two species and facilitates their genomic comparison.

## Common Utilization of Symbiosis Genes in *Parasponia* and Medicago.

To assess commonalities in the utilization of symbiosis genes in *Parasponia* species and legumes, we employed two strategies. First, we performed phylogenetic analyses of close homologs of genes that were characterized to function in legume–rhizobium symbiosis. This revealed that *P. andersonii* contains putative orthologs of the vast majority of these legume symbiosis genes (96 of 126; Datasets S1 and S3). Second, we compared the sets of genes with enhanced expression in nodules of *P. andersonii* and medicago. RNA sequencing of *P. andersonii* nodules revealed 1,719 genes that are functionally annotated and have a significantly enhanced expression level (fold change >2, *P* < 0.05, DESeq2 Wald test) in any of three nodule developmental stages compared with uninoculated roots (SI Appendix, Fig. S12 and Dataset S4). For medicago, we generated a comparable data set of 2,753 nodule-enhanced genes based on published RNA sequencing data (34). We then determined the overlap of these two gene sets based on








**Fig. 3.** *Parasponia*-specific adaptations in class 1 hemoglobin protein HB1. (A) Phylogenetic reconstruction of class 1 hemoglobin (OG0010523) and class 2 hemoglobins (OG0002188). Symbiotic hemoglobins are marked with an asterisk; legumes and the actinorhizal plant casuarina have recruited class 2 hemoglobins for balancing oxygen levels in their nodules. Conversely, *Parasponia* has recruited a class 1 hemoglobin *PanHB1*, confirming parallel evolution of symbiotic oxygen transport in this lineage: *M. truncatula* (Medtr), *G. max* (Glyma), *P. trichocarpa* (Potri), *F. vesca* (Fvesca), *E. grandis* (Eugr), *A. thaliana* (AT). Node values indicate posterior probabilities below 1; scale bar represents substitutions per site. *Parasponia* marked in blue, *Trema* in red. (B) Expression profile of *PanHB1* and *PanHB2* in *P. andersonii* roots, stage 1–3 nodules, and *P. andersonii* × *T. tomentosa* F<sub>1</sub> hybrid roots and nodules (line H9). Expression is given in DESeq2-normalized read counts; error bars represent SE of three biological replicates and dots represent individual expression levels. (C) Crystal structure of the asymmetric dimer of *PanHB1* as deduced by Kakar et al. (48). Dashed line separates the two units. (D) Protein sequence alignment of class 1 hemoglobins from *Parasponia* spp., *Trema* spp., hop (*H. lupulus*), and mulberry (*M. notabilis*). Only amino acids that differ from the consensus are drawn. A linear model of the crystal structure showing  $\alpha$ -helices and turns is depicted above the consensus sequence. There are seven amino acids (marked gray) that consistently differ between all *Parasponia* and all other sampled species: Ala(21), Gln(35), Asp(97), Ile(101), Thr(108), Val(144), and Phe(155). These differences therefore correlate with the functional divergence between *P. andersonii* *PanHB1* and *T. tomentosa* *TtoHB1* (47, 48).

we found two close homologs of this gene, *NFP1* and *NFP2*, a duplication that predates the divergence of legumes and *Parasponia* (Fig. 5). In contrast to *NFP1*, *NFP2* is consistently pseudogenized in *Trema* species (Fig. 5 and *SI Appendix*, Figs. S22 and S23). In an earlier study, we used RNAi to target *PanNFP1* (previously named *PanNFP*), which led to reduced nodule numbers and a block of intracellular infection by rhizobia as well as arbuscular mycorrhiza (30). However, we cannot rule out that the RNAi construct unintentionally also targeted *PanNFP2*, as both genes are ~70% identical in the 422-bp RNAi target region. Therefore, the precise functioning of both receptors in rhizobium and mycorrhizal symbiosis remains to be elucidated. Based on phylogenetic analysis, the newly discovered *PanNFP2* is the ortholog of the legume *MtNFP1*

*LjNFR5* genes encoding rhizobium LCO receptors required for nodulation, whereas *PanNFP1* is most likely a paralog (Fig. 5). Also, *PanNFP2* is significantly more highly expressed in nodules than *PanNFP1* (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S25). Taken together, this indicates that *PanNFP2* may represent a key LCO receptor required for nodulation in *Parasponia*.

Based on expression profiles and phylogenetic relationships, we also postulate that *Parasponia* *NIN* and *RPG* commit essential symbiotic functions similarly as in other nodulating species (Fig. 3 and *SI Appendix*, Figs. S25–S28) (17, 19, 37, 54, 55). Compared with uninoculated roots, expression of *PanRPG* is >300-fold higher in *P. andersonii* nodules that become intracellularly infected (nodule stage 2), whereas, in F<sub>1</sub> hybrid nodules, which are devoid of



**Fig. 4.** Expression profile of *P. andersonii* symbiosis genes that are lost in *Trema* species. Expression of symbiosis genes in *P. andersonii* stem, leaf, female and male flowers, lateral root primordia, roots, and three nodule stages (S1–S3), and in F<sub>1</sub> hybrid roots and nodules (line H9). Expression is given in DESeq2-normalized read counts; error bars represent SE of three biological replicates for lateral root primordia, root, and nodule samples. Dots represent individual expression levels. *PanCRK11*, CYSTEINE-RICH RECEPTOR KINASE 11; *PanDEF1*, DEFENSIN 1; *PanLEK1*, LECTIN RECEPTOR KINASE 1; *PanNFP2*, NOD FACTOR PERCEPTION 2; *PanNIN*, NODULE INCEPTION; *PanRPG*, RHIZOBIUM DIRECTED POLAR GROWTH.


intracellular rhizobium infection, this difference is less than 20-fold (Fig. 4). This suggests that *PanRPG* commits a function in rhizobium infection, similarly as found in medicago (37). The transcription factor *NIN* has been studied in several legume species as well as in the actinorhizal plant casuarina (*Casuarina glauca*) and, in all cases, shown to be essential for nodule organogenesis (17, 19, 54, 55). Loss of *NIN* and possibly *NFP2* in *Trema* species can explain the genetic dominance of nodule organogenesis in the *Parasponia* × *Trema* F<sub>1</sub> hybrid plants.

Next, we assessed whether loss of these symbiosis genes also occurred in more distant relatives of *Parasponia*. We analyzed nonnodulating species representing six additional lineages of the Rosales clade, namely hop (*Humulus lupulus*, Cannabaceae) (56), mulberry (*Morus notabilis*, Moraceae) (57), jujube (*Ziziphus jujuba*, Rhamnaceae) (58), peach (*Prunus persica*, Rosaceae) (59), woodland strawberry (*Fragaria vesca*, Rosaceae) (60), and apple (*Malus × domestica*, Rosaceae) (61). This revealed a consistent pattern of pseudogenization or loss of *NFP2*, *NIN*, and


*RPG* orthologs, the intact jujube *ZjNIN* being the only exception (Fig. 6). We note that, for peach, *NIN* was previously annotated as a protein-coding gene (59). However, based on comparative analysis of conserved exon structures, we found two out-of-frame mutations (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S28). We therefore conclude that the *NIN* gene is also pseudogenized in peach. Because the pseudogenized symbiosis genes are largely intact in most of these species and differ in their deleterious mutations, the loss of function of these essential symbiosis genes should have occurred relatively recently and in parallel in at least seven Rosales lineages.

## Discussion

Here we present the nodulating nonlegume *Parasponia* as a comparative system to obtain insights in molecular genetic changes underlying evolution of nitrogen-fixing root nodules. We show that nodulation is a genetically dominant trait and that *P. andersonii* and the legume medicago share a set of 290 genes that have a nodule-enhanced expression profile. Among these are *NIN* and *RPG*, two genes that, in legumes, are essential for nitrogen-fixing root nodulation (17, 19, 37, 54). Both of these genes, as well as a putative ortholog of the NFP/NFR5-type LysM receptor for rhizobium LCO



**Fig. 5.** *Parasponia NFP2* are putative orthologs of legume LCO receptors MtNFP/LjNFR5. Phylogenetic reconstruction of the NFP/NFR5 orthogroup based on kinase domain. Protein sequences deduced from pseudogenes are marked with an asterisk. Included species are *P. andersonii* (Pan), *Parasponia rigida* (Pri), *Parasponia rugosa* (Pru), *T. orientalis* RG33 (Tor), *T. orientalis* RG16 (TorRG16), *T. levigata* (Tle), medicago (*M. truncatula*, Mt), lotus (*L. japonicus*, Lj), soybean (*G. max*, Glyma), peach (*P. persica*, Ppe), woodland strawberry (*F. vesca*, Fvesca), black cotton poplar (*P. trichocarpa*, Potri), eucalyptus (*E. grandis*, Eugr), jujube (*Z. jujuba*), apple (*M. × domestica*), mulberry (*M. notabilis*), hops (*H. lupulus*), cassava (*Manihot esculenta*), rice (*O. sativa*), tomato (*S. lycopersicum*), and castor bean (*Ricinus communis*). Node numbers indicate posterior probabilities below 1; scale bar represents substitutions per site. *Parasponia* proteins are marked in blue, *Trema* in red.



**Fig. 6.** Parallel loss of symbiosis genes in nonnodulating Rosales species. Pseudogenization or loss of *NFP2*, *NIN*, and *RPG* in two phylogenetically independent *Trema* lineages, *H. lupulus* (hop), *M. notabilis* (mulberry), *Z. jujuba* (jujube), *P. persica* (peach), and *M. × domestica* (apple). In *H. lupulus*, *NIN* is pseudogenized, whereas *NFP2* and *RPG* were not found (this may be because of the low N50 of the publicly available assembly). In *Z. jujuba*, *NFP2* is lost and *RPG* is pseudogenized, but *NIN* is intact. In *F. vesca*, all three genes are lost (not shown). Introns are indicated but not scaled. Triangles indicate frame shifts; "X" indicates premature stop codons; "LTR" indicates LTR retrotransposon insertion (not scaled); arrows indicate alternative transcriptional start site in *NIN*. CD, 4 conserved domains (gray); LysM, 3 Lysin motif domains (magenta); NT-C2, N-terminal C2 domain (green); PB1, Phox and Bem1 domain (yellow); PK, protein kinase (pink); RWP-RK, conserved amino acid domain (orange); SP, signal peptide (red); TM, transmembrane domain (lilac).

signal molecules—named *NFP2* in *Parasponia*—are consistently pseudogenized or lost in *Trema* and other nonnodulating species of the Rosales order. This challenges the current view on the evolution of nitrogen-fixing plant–microbe symbioses.

Evolution of nodulation is generally viewed as a two-step process: first an unspecified predisposition event in the ancestor of all nodulating species, bringing species in the nitrogen-fixing clade to a precursor state for nodulation; and subsequently, nodulation originated in parallel: eight times with *Frankia* and twice with rhizobium (1, 6–12). This hypothesis is most parsimonious and suggests a minimum number of independent gains and losses of symbiosis. Based on this hypothesis, it is currently assumed that nonhost relatives of nodulating species are generally in a precursor state for nodulation (9).

Our results are difficult to explain under the hypothesis of parallel origins of nodulation. The functions of *NFP2*, *NIN*, and *RPG* currently cannot be linked to any nonsymbiotic processes. Therefore, it remains obscure why these symbiosis genes were maintained over an extended period of time in nonnodulating plant species and were subsequently independently lost. Additionally, the hypothesis of parallel origins of nodulation would imply convergent recruitment of at least 290 genes to commit symbiotic functions in *Parasponia* and legumes. Because these 290 genes encode proteins with various predicted functions (e.g., from extracellular signaling receptors to sugar transporters; Dataset S5), as well as comprise at least two different developmental expression patterns (nodule organogenesis and intracellular infection and/or fixation; Fig. 2 and Dataset S5), this would imply parallel evolution of a genetically complex trait.

Alternatively, the parallel loss of symbiosis genes in nonnodulating plants can be interpreted as parallel loss of nodulation (1). Under this hypothesis, nodulation possibly evolved only once in an ancestor of the nitrogen-fixing clade. Subsequently, nodulation was lost in most descendant lineages. This single-gain/massive-loss hypothesis fits our data better in two ways. First, a single gain explains the origin of the conserved set of at least 290 symbiosis genes utilized by *Parasponia* and medicago because they then result from the same ancestral recruitment event. Second, it more convincingly explains the parallel loss of symbiosis genes in nonnodulating plants because then gene loss correlates directly with loss of nodulation. Additionally, the single-gain/massive-loss model eliminates the predisposition event, a theoretical concept that currently cannot be addressed ex-

perimentally. We therefore favor this alternative hypothesis over the currently most widely held assumption of parallel origins of nodulation.

Loss of nodulation is not controversial, as it is generally considered to have occurred at least 20 times in the legume family (9, 10). Nevertheless, the single-gain/massive-loss hypothesis implies many more evolutionary events than the current hypothesis of parallel gains. On the contrary, it is conceptually easier to lose a complex trait, such as nodulation, than to gain it (11). Genetic studies in legumes demonstrated that nitrogen-fixing symbioses can be abolished by a single KO mutation in tens of different genes, among which are *NFP/NFR5*, *NIN*, and *RPG* (Dataset S1). Because parsimony implies equal weights for gains and losses, it therefore may not be the best way to model the evolution of nodulation.

Preliminary support for the single-gain/massive-loss hypothesis can be found in fossil records. Putative root nodule fossils have been discovered from the late Cretaceous (~84 Mya), which corroborates our hypothesis that nodulation is much older than is generally assumed (62). Legumes are the oldest and most diverse nodulating lineage, but the earliest fossils that can be definitively assigned to the legume family appeared in the late Paleocene (~65 Mya) (63). Notably, the age of the nodule fossils coincides with the early diversification of the nitrogen-fixing clade that has given rise to the four orders Fabales, Rosales, Cucurbitales, and Fagales (10). As it is generally agreed that individual fossil ages provide minimum bounds for dates of origins, it is therefore not unlikely that the last common ancestor of the nitrogen-fixing clade was a nodulator.

Clearly, the single-gain/massive-loss hypothesis that is supported by our comparative studies with *Parasponia* requires further substantiation. First, the hypothesis implies that many ancestral species in the nitrogen-fixing clade were able to nodulate. This should be further supported by fossil evidence. Second, the hypothesis implies that actinorhizal plant species maintained *NIN*, *RPG*, and possibly *NFP2* (the latter only in case LCOs are used as symbiotic signal) (64). Third, these genes should be essential for nodulation in these actinorhizal plants as well as in *Parasponia*. This can be shown experimentally, as was done for *NIN* in casuarina (55).

Loss of symbiosis genes in nonnodulating plant species is not absolute, as we observed a functional copy of *NIN* in jujube. This pattern is similar to the pattern of gene loss in species that lost endomycorrhizal symbiosis in which, occasionally, endomycorrhizal symbiosis genes have been maintained in nonmycorrhizal plants

(65, 66). Conservation of *NIN* in jujube suggests that this gene has a nonsymbiotic function. Contrary to *NFP2*, which is the result of a gene duplication near the origin of the nitrogen-fixing clade, functional copies of *NIN* are also present in species outside the nitrogen-fixing clade (*SI Appendix, Fig. S26*). This suggests that these genes may have retained—at least in part—an unknown ancestral nonsymbiotic function in some lineages within the nitrogen-fixing clade. Alternatively, *NIN* may have acquired a new nonsymbiotic function within some lineages in the nitrogen-fixing clade.

As hemoglobin is crucial for rhizobium symbiosis in legumes (3), it is striking that *Parasponia* and legumes do not use orthologous copies of hemoglobin genes in their nodules (47). Superficially, this seems inconsistent with a single gain of nodulation. However, hemoglobin is not crucial for all nitrogen-fixing nodule symbioses because several *Frankia* microsymbionts possess intrinsic physical characteristics to protect the Nitrogenase enzyme for oxidation (67–70). In line with this, *Ceanothus* spp. (Rhamnaceae, Rosales)—which represent actinorhizal nodulating relatives of *Parasponia*—do not express a hemoglobin gene in their *Frankia*-infected nodules (68–70). Consequently, hemoglobins may have been recruited in parallel after the initial gain of nodulation as parallel adaptations to rhizobium microsymbionts. Based on the fact that *Parasponia* acquired lineage-specific adaptations in HB1 that are considered to be essential for controlling oxygen homeostasis in rhizobium root nodules (47, 48), a symbiont switch from *Frankia* to rhizobium may have occurred recently in an ancestor of the *Parasponia* lineage.

Our study provides leads for attempts to engineer nitrogen-fixing root nodules in agricultural crop plants. Such a translational approach is anticipated to be challenging (71), and the only published attempt so far, describing transfer of eight LCO signaling genes, was unsuccessful (72). Our results suggest that transfer of symbiosis genes may not be sufficient to obtain functional nodules. Even though  $F_1$  hybrid plants contain a full haploid genome complement of *P. andersonii*, they lack intracellular infection. This may be the result of haploinsufficiency of *P. andersonii* genes in the  $F_1$  hybrid or because of an inhibitory factor in *T. tomentosa*. For example, inhibition of intracellular infection may be the result of a dominant-negative factor or the result of heterozygosity negatively affecting the formation of, e.g., LysM receptor complexes required for appropriate perception of microsymbionts. Such factors may also be present in other nonhost species. Consequently, engineering nitrogen-fixing nodules may require gene KOs in nonnodulating plants to overcome inhibition of intracellular infection. *Trema* may be the best candidate species for such a (re)engineering approach because of its high genetic similarity with *Parasponia* and the availability of transformation protocols (73). Therefore, the *Parasponia*–*Trema* comparative system may not only be suited for evolutionary studies, but also can form an experimental platform to obtain essential insights for engineering nitrogen-fixing root nodules.

## Materials and Methods

**Parasponia–Trema Intergeneric Crossing and Hybrid Genotyping.** *Parasponia* and *Trema* are wind-pollinated species. A female-flowering *P. andersonii* individual WU1.14 was placed in a plastic shed together with a flowering *T. tomentosa* WU10 plant. Putative  $F_1$  hybrid seeds were germinated (*SI Appendix, Supplementary Methods*) and transferred to potting soil. To confirm the hybrid genotype, a PCR marker was used that visualizes a length difference in the promoter region of *LIKE-AUXIN 1 (LAX1)*; primers, LAX1-forward, ACATGATAATTTGGGCATGCAACA; LAX1-reverse, TCCCGAATTTCTACGAATTGAAA; amplicon size, *P. andersonii*, 974 bp; *T. tomentosa*, 483 bp. Hybrid plant H9 was propagated in vitro (30, 74). The karyotype of the selected plants was determined according to Geurts and de Jong (75).

**Assembly of Reference Genomes.** Cleaned DNA sequencing reads were de novo assembled by using ALLPATHS-LG (release 48961) (76). After filtering of any remaining adapters and contamination, contigs were scaffolded with two rounds of SSPACE-standard (v3.0) (77) with the mate-pair libraries using default settings. We used the output of the second run of SSPACE scaf-

folding as the final assembly (full details and parameter choices are provided in *SI Appendix, Supplementary Methods*). Validation of the final assemblies showed that 90–100% of the genomic reads mapped back to the assemblies (*SI Appendix, Table S4*), and 94–98% of CEGMA (78) and BUSCO (79) genes were detected (*SI Appendix, Table S5*).

**Annotation of Reference Genomes.** Repetitive elements were identified following the standard Maker-P recipe ([weatherby.genetics.utah.edu/MAKER/wiki/index.php/Repeat\\_Library\\_Construction-Advanced](http://weatherby.genetics.utah.edu/MAKER/wiki/index.php/Repeat_Library_Construction-Advanced), accessed October 2015) as described on the GMOD site: (i) RepeatModeler with Repeatscout v1.0.5, Recon v1.08, RepeatMasker version open4.0.5, using RepBase version 20140131 (80) and TandemRepeatFinder; (ii) GenomeTools LTRharvest and LTRdigest (81); (iii) MITEhunter with default parameters (82). We generated species-specific repeat libraries for *P. andersonii* and *T. orientalis* separately and combined these into a single repeat library, filtering out sequences that are >98% similar. We masked both genomes by using RepeatMasker with this shared repeat library.

To aid the structural annotation, we used 11 *P. andersonii* and 6 *T. orientalis* RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) datasets (*SI Appendix, Table S8*). All RNA-seq samples were assembled de novo by using genome-guided Trinity (83), resulting in one combined transcriptome assembly per species. In addition, all samples were mapped to their respective reference genomes by using BWA-MEM and processed into putative transcripts by using cufflinks (84) and transdecoder (85). As protein homology evidence, only UniProt (86) entries filtered for plant proteins were used. This way we included only manually verified protein sequences and prevented the incorporation of erroneous predictions. Finally, four gene-predictor tracks were used: (i) SNAP (87) trained on *P. andersonii* transdecoder transcript annotations; (ii) SNAP trained on *T. orientalis* transdecoder transcript annotations; (iii) Augustus (88), as used in the BRAKER pipeline, trained on RNA-seq alignments (89); and (iv) GeneMark-ET, as used in the BRAKER pipeline, trained on RNA-seq alignments (90).

First, all evidence tracks were processed by Maker-P (91). The results were refined with EvidenceModeler (EVM) (92), which was used with all of the same tracks as Maker-P, except for the Maker-P blast tracks and with the addition of the Maker-P consensus track as additional evidence. Ultimately, EVM gene models were preferred over Maker-P gene models except when there was no overlapping EVM gene model. Where possible, evidence of both species was used to annotate each genome (i.e., de novo RNA-seq assemblies of both species were aligned to both genomes).

To take maximum advantage of annotating two highly similar genomes simultaneously, we developed a custom reconciliation procedure involving whole-genome alignments. The consensus annotations from merging the EVM and Maker-P annotations were transferred to their respective partner genome by using nucmer (93) and RATT revision 18 (94) (i.e., the *P. andersonii* annotation was transferred to *T. orientalis* and vice versa) based on nucmer whole-genome alignments (*SI Appendix, Fig. S10*). Through this reciprocal transfer, both genomes had two candidate annotation tracks. This allowed for validation of annotation differences between *P. andersonii* and *T. orientalis*, reduced technical variation, and consequently improved all downstream analyses. After automatic annotation and reconciliation, 1,693 *P. andersonii* genes and 1,788 *T. orientalis* genes were manually curated. These were mainly homologs of legume symbiosis genes and genes that were selected based on initial data exploration.

To assign putative product names to the predicted genes, we combined BLAST results against UniProt, TrEMBL, and nr with InterProScan results (custom script). To annotate Gene Ontology (GO) terms and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) enzyme codes we used Blast2GO based on the nr BLAST results and InterProScan results. Finally, we filtered all gene models with hits to InterPro domains that are specific to repetitive elements.

**Orthogroup Inference.** To determine relationships between *P. andersonii* and *T. orientalis* genes, as well as with other plant species, we inferred orthogroups with OrthoFinder version 0.4.0 (95). As orthogroups are defined as the set of genes that are descended from a single gene in the last common ancestor of all of the species being considered, they can comprise orthologous as well as paralogous genes. Our analysis included proteomes of selected species from the Eurosid clade: *A. thaliana* TAIR10 (Brassicaceae, Brassicales) (96) and *Eucalyptus grandis* v2.0 (Myrtaceae, Myrtales) from the Malvid clade (97); *Populus trichocarpa* v3.0 (Salicaceae, Malpighiales) (98), legumes *M. truncatula* Mt4.0v1 (99) and *G. max* Wm82.a2.v1 (Fabaceae, Fabales) (100), *F. vesca* v1.1 (Rosaceae, Rosales) (60), and *P. andersonii* and *T. orientalis* (Cannabaceae, Rosales) from the Fabid clade (*Dataset S2*). Sequences were retrieved from phytozome ([www.phytozome.net](http://www.phytozome.net)).

**Gene CNV Detection.** To assess orthologous and paralogous relationships between *Parasponia* and *Trema* genes, we inferred phylogenetic gene trees for all 21,959 orthogroups comprising *Parasponia* and/or *Trema* genes by using the

neighbor-joining clustering algorithm (101). Based on these gene trees, for each *Parasponia* gene, its relationship to other *Parasponia* and *Trema* genes was defined as follows: (i) orthologous pair indicates that the sister lineage is a single gene from the *Trema* genome, suggesting that they are the result of a speciation event; (ii) inparalog indicates that the sister lineage is a gene from the *Parasponia* genome, suggesting that they are the result of a gene duplication event; (iii) singleton indicates that the sister lineage is a gene from a species other than *Trema*, suggesting that the *Trema* gene was lost; and (iv) multi-ortholog indicates that the sister lineage comprises multiple genes from the *Trema* genome, suggesting that the latter are inparalogs. For each *Trema* gene, the relationship was defined in the same way but with respect to the *Parasponia* genome (SI Appendix, Table S6). Because phylogenetic analysis relies on homology, we assessed the level of conservation in the multiple-sequence alignments by calculating the trident score using MstatX (<https://github.com/gcollet/MstatX>) (102). Orthogroups with a score below 0.1 were excluded from the analysis. Examination of orthogroups comprising >20 inparalogs revealed that some represented repetitive elements; these were also excluded. Finally, orthologous pairs were validated based on the whole-genome alignments used in the annotation reconciliation.

**Nodule-Enhanced Genes.** To assess gene expression in *Parasponia* nodules, RNA was sequenced from the three nodule stages described earlier as well as uninoculated roots (SI Appendix, Table S8). RNA-seq reads were mapped to the *Parasponia* reference genome with HISAT2 version 2.02 (103) using an index that includes exon and splice site information in the RNA-seq alignments. Mapped reads were assigned to transcripts with featureCounts version 1.5.0 (104). Normalization and differential gene expression were performed with DESeq2. Nodule enhanced genes were selected based on >2.0-fold change and  $P \leq 0.05$  in any nodule stage compared with uninoculated root controls. Genes without functional annotation or orthogroup membership or from orthogroups with low alignment scores (<0.1 trident score, as detailed earlier) or representing repetitive elements were excluded from further analysis. To assess expression of *Parasponia* genes in the hybrid nodules, RNA was sequenced from nodules and uninoculated roots. Here, RNA-seq reads were mapped to a combined reference comprising two parent genomes from

*P. andersonii* and *T. tomentosa*. To assess which genes are nodule-enhanced in medicago, we reanalyzed published RNA-seq read data from Roux et al. (34) [archived at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) under sequence read archive (SRA) study ID code SRP028599]. To assess which of these genes may be coopted from the ancient and widespread arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis, we generated a set of 575 medicago genes induced upon mycorrhization in medicago by reanalyzing published RNA-seq read data from Afkhami and Stinchcombe (archived at the NCBI under SRA study ID code SRP078249) (46) Both medicago data sets were analyzed as described earlier for *Parasponia* but by using the medicago genome and annotation version 4.0v2 as reference (99).

To assess common recruitment of genes in nodules from *Parasponia* and medicago, we counted orthogroups comprising *P. andersonii* and medicago nodule-enhanced genes. To assess whether this number is higher than expected by chance, we performed the hypergeometric test as well as three different permutation tests in which we randomized the *Parasponia* gene set, the medicago gene set, or both sets with 10,000 permutations. We then determined putative orthology between the *Parasponia* and medicago genes within the common orthogroups based on phylogenetic analysis. *Parasponia* and medicago genes were considered putative orthogroups if they occurred in the same subclade with more than 50% bootstrap support; otherwise, they were considered close homologs.

**Availability of Data and Materials.** The data reported in this study are tabulated in Datasets S1–S7 and SI Appendix; sequence data are archived at NCBI (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>) under BioProject numbers PRJNA272473 and PRJNA272482; draft genome assemblies, phylogenetic datasets, and orthogroup data are archived at the Dryad Digital Repository (<https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.fq7gv88>). Analyzed data can also be browsed or downloaded through a Web portal at [www.parasponia.org](http://www.parasponia.org). All custom scripts and code are available online at [https://github.com/holmreiser/parasponia\\_code](https://github.com/holmreiser/parasponia_code).

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** We thank Shelley James, Thomas Marler, Giles Oldroyd, and Johan van Valkenburg for providing germplasm and Ries deVisser (IsoLife) for supporting acetylene reduction assays.

- Soltis DE, et al. (1995) Chloroplast gene sequence data suggest a single origin of the predisposition for symbiotic nitrogen fixation in angiosperms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 92:2647–2651.
- Udvardi M, Poole PS (2013) Transport and metabolism in legume-rhizobia symbioses. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 64:781–805.
- Ott T, et al. (2005) Symbiotic leghemoglobins are crucial for nitrogen fixation in legume root nodules but not for general plant growth and development. *Curr Biol* 15:531–535.
- Burrill TJ, Hansen R (1917) Is symbiosis possible between legume bacteria and non-legume plants? *Bull Univ Ill Agric Expt Stn* 202:115–181.
- Stokstad E (2016) The nitrogen fix. *Science* 353:1225–1227.
- Swensen SM (1996) The evolution of actinorhizal symbioses: Evidence for multiple origins of the symbiotic association. *Am J Bot* 83:1503–1512.
- Doyle JJ (1998) Phylogenetic perspectives on nodulation: Evolving views of plants and symbiotic bacteria. *Trends Plant Sci* 3:473–478.
- Doyle JJ (2011) Phylogenetic perspectives on the origins of nodulation. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* 24:1289–1295.
- Werner GDA, Cornwell WK, Sprent JI, Kattge J, Kiers ET (2014) A single evolutionary innovation drives the deep evolution of symbiotic N<sub>2</sub>-fixation in angiosperms. *Nat Commun* 5:4087.
- Li H-L, et al. (2015) Large-scale phylogenetic analyses reveal multiple gains of actinorhizal nitrogen-fixing symbioses in angiosperms associated with climate change. *Sci Rep* 5:14023.
- Doyle JJ (2016) Chasing unicorns: Nodulation origins and the paradox of novelty. *Am J Bot* 103:1865–1868.
- Martin FM, Uroz S, Barker DG (2017) Ancestral alliances: Plant mutualistic symbioses with fungi and bacteria. *Science* 356:eaad4501.
- Limpens E, et al. (2003) LysM domain receptor kinases regulating rhizobial Nod factor-induced infection. *Science* 302:630–633.
- Madsen EB, et al. (2003) A receptor kinase gene of the LysM type is involved in legume perception of rhizobial signals. *Nature* 425:637–640.
- Radutiu S, et al. (2003) Plant recognition of symbiotic bacteria requires two LysM receptor-like kinases. *Nature* 425:585–592.
- Arrighi JF, et al. (2006) The *Medicago truncatula* lysin [corrected] motif-receptor-like kinase gene family includes NFP and new nodule-expressed genes. *Plant Physiol* 142:265–279, and erratum (2007) 143:1078.
- Marsh JF, et al. (2007) *Medicago truncatula* NIN is essential for rhizobial-independent nodule organogenesis induced by autoactive calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase. *Plant Physiol* 144:324–335.
- Broghammer A, et al. (2012) Legume receptors perceive the rhizobial lipochitin oligosaccharide signal molecules by direct binding. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 109:13859–13864.
- Schauser L, Roussis A, Stiller J, Stougaard J (1999) A plant regulator controlling development of symbiotic root nodules. *Nature* 402:191–195.
- Soyano T, Kouchi H, Hirota A, Hayashi M (2013) Nodule inception directly targets NF-Y subunit genes to regulate essential processes of root nodule development in *Lotus japonicus*. *PLoS Genet* 9:e1003352.
- Vernié T, et al. (2015) The NIN transcription factor coordinates diverse nodulation programs in different tissues of the *Medicago truncatula* root. *Plant Cell* 27:3410–3424.
- Parniske M (2008) Arbuscular mycorrhiza: The mother of plant root endosymbioses. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 6:763–775.
- Oldroyd GED (2013) Speak, friend, and enter: Signalling systems that promote beneficial symbiotic associations in plants. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 11:252–263.
- Geurts R, Xiao TT, Reinhold-Hurek B (2016) What does it take to evolve a nitrogen-fixing endosymbiosis? *Trends Plant Sci* 21:199–208.
- Clason EW (1936) The vegetation of the upper-Badak region of mount Kelut (East Java). *Bull Jard Bot Buitenzorg Ser* 3 13:509–518.
- Trinick MJ (1973) Symbiosis between *Rhizobium* and the non-legume, *Trema aspera*. *Nature* 244:459–460.
- Akkermans ADL, Abdulkadir S, Trinick MJ (1978) Nitrogen-fixing root nodules in Ulmaceae. *Nature* 274:190.
- Becking JH (1992) The *Rhizobium* symbiosis of the nonlegume *Parasponia*. *Biological Nitrogen Fixation*, eds Stacey G, Burris RH, Evans HJ (Routledge, Chapman and Hall, New York), pp 497–559.
- Marvel DJ, Torrey JG, Ausubel FM (1987) *Rhizobium* symbiotic genes required for nodulation of legume and nonlegume hosts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 84:1319–1323.
- Op den Camp R, et al. (2011) LysM-type mycorrhizal receptor recruited for rhizobium symbiosis in nonlegume *Parasponia*. *Science* 331:909–912.
- Granqvist E, et al. (2015) Bacterial-induced calcium oscillations are common to nitrogen-fixing associations of nodulating legumes and nonlegumes. *New Phytol* 207:551–558.
- Yang M-Q, et al. (2013) Molecular phylogenetics and character evolution of Canabaceae. *Taxon* 62:473–485.
- Op den Camp RHM, et al. (2012) Nonlegume *Parasponia andersonii* deploys a broad rhizobium host range strategy resulting in largely variable symbiotic effectiveness. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* 25:954–963.
- Roux B, et al. (2014) An integrated analysis of plant and bacterial gene expression in symbiotic root nodules using laser-capture microdissection coupled to RNA sequencing. *Plant J* 77:817–837.
- Combiar J-PP, et al. (2006) MtHAP2-1 is a key transcriptional regulator of symbiotic nodule development regulated by microRNA169 in *Medicago truncatula*. *Genes Dev* 20:3084–3088.
- Baudin M, et al. (2015) A phylogenetically conserved group of nuclear factor-Y transcription factors interact to control nodulation in legumes. *Plant Physiol* 169:2761–2773.
- Arrighi J-F, et al. (2008) The *RPG* gene of *Medicago truncatula* controls *Rhizobium*-directed polar growth during infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105:9817–9822.

38. Kistner C, et al. (2005) Seven *Lotus japonicus* genes required for transcriptional reprogramming of the root during fungal and bacterial symbiosis. *Plant Cell* 17: 2217–2229.
39. Deguchi Y, et al. (2007) Transcriptome profiling of *Lotus japonicus* roots during arbuscular mycorrhiza development and comparison with that of nodulation. *DNA Res* 14:117–133.
40. Yano K, et al. (2008) CYCLOPS, a mediator of symbiotic intracellular accommodation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105:20540–20545.
41. Pumplin N, et al. (2010) Medicago truncatula Vapyrin is a novel protein required for arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Plant J* 61:482–494.
42. Horváth B, et al. (2011) Medicago truncatula IPD3 is a member of the common symbiotic signaling pathway required for rhizobial and mycorrhizal symbioses. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* 24:1345–1358.
43. Murray JD, et al. (2011) Vapyrin, a gene essential for intracellular progression of arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis, is also essential for infection by rhizobia in the nodule symbiosis of Medicago truncatula. *Plant J* 65:244–252.
44. Tóth K, et al. (2012) Functional domain analysis of the remorin protein LjSYMREM1 in Lotus japonicus. *PLoS One* 7:e30817.
45. Chiasson DM, et al. (2014) Soybean SAT1 (symbiotic ammonium transporter 1) encodes a bHLH transcription factor involved in nodule growth and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> transport. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 111:4814–4819.
46. Afkhami ME, Stinchcombe JR (2016) Multiple mutualist effects on genomewide expression in the tripartite association between Medicago truncatula, nitrogen-fixing bacteria and mycorrhizal fungi. *Mol Ecol* 25:4946–4962.
47. Sturms R, Kakar S, Trent J, 3rd, Hargrove MS (2010) Trema and parasponia hemoglobins reveal convergent evolution of oxygen transport in plants. *Biochemistry* 49: 4085–4093.
48. Kakar S, et al. (2011) Crystal structures of Parasponia and Trema hemoglobins: Differential heme coordination is linked to quaternary structure. *Biochemistry* 50: 4273–4280.
49. Żmierzko A, Samelak A, Kozłowski P, Figlerowicz M (2014) Copy number polymorphism in plant genomes. *Theor Appl Genet* 127:1–18.
50. Shadle G, et al. (2007) Down-regulation of hydroxycinnamoyl CoA: Shikimate hydroxycinnamoyl transferase in transgenic alfalfa affects lignification, development and forage quality. *Phytochemistry* 68:1521–1529.
51. Gallego-Giraldo L, et al. (2014) Lignin modification leads to increased nodule numbers in alfalfa. *Plant Physiol* 164:1139–1150.
52. Kawaharada Y, et al. (2015) Receptor-mediated exopolysaccharide perception controls bacterial infection. *Nature* 523:308–312.
53. Kawaharada Y, et al. (2017) Differential regulation of the Epr3 receptor coordinates membrane-restricted rhizobial colonization of root nodule primordia. *Nat Commun* 8:14534.
54. Borisov AY, et al. (2003) The Symb35 gene required for root nodule development in pea is an ortholog of Nin from Lotus japonicus. *Plant Physiol* 131:1009–1017.
55. Clavijo F, et al. (2015) The Casuarina NIN gene is transcriptionally activated throughout Frankia root infection as well as in response to bacterial diffusible signals. *New Phytol* 208:887–903.
56. Natsume S, et al. (2015) The draft genome of hop (Humulus lupulus), an essence for brewing. *Plant Cell Physiol* 56:428–441.
57. He N, et al. (2013) Draft genome sequence of the mulberry tree Morus notabilis. *Nat Commun* 4:2445.
58. Huang J, et al. (2016) The jujube genome provides insights into genome evolution and the domestication of sweetness/acid taste in fruit trees. *PLoS Genet* 12: e1006433.
59. Verde I, et al.; International Peach Genome Initiative (2013) The high-quality draft genome of peach (Prunus persica) identifies unique patterns of genetic diversity, domestication and genome evolution. *Nat Genet* 45:487–494.
60. Shulaev V, et al. (2011) The genome of woodland strawberry (Fragaria vesca). *Nat Genet* 43:109–116.
61. Velasco R, et al. (2010) The genome of the domesticated apple (Malus × domestica Borkh.). *Nat Genet* 42:833–839.
62. Herendeen PS, Magallon-Puebla S, Lupia R, Crane PR, Kobylinska J (1999) A preliminary conspectus of the Allon flora from the Late Cretaceous (late Santonian) of central Georgia, USA. *Ann Mo Bot Gard* 86:407–471.
63. Bruneau A, Mercure M, Lewis GP, Herendeen PS (2008) Phylogenetic patterns and diversification in the caesalpinoid legumes. *Botany* 86:697–718.
64. Nguyen TV, et al. (2016) An assemblage of Frankiacluster II strains from California contains the canonical nod genes and also the sulfotransferase gene nodH. *BMC Genomics* 17:796.
65. Delaux P-M, et al. (2015) Algal ancestor of land plants was preadapted for symbiosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 112:13390–13395.
66. Kamel L, Keller-Pearson B, Roux C, Ané J-M (2017) Biology and evolution of arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis in the light of genomics. *New Phytol* 213:531–536.
67. Winship LJ, Martin KJ, Sellstedt A (1987) The acetylene reduction assay inactivates root nodule uptake hydrogenase in some actinorhizal plants. *Physiol Plant* 70: 361–366.
68. Silvester WB, Winship LJ (1990) Transient responses of nitrogenase to acetylene and oxygen in actinorhizal nodules and cultured frankia. *Plant Physiol* 92:480–486.
69. Silvester WB, Berg RH, Schwintzer CR, Tjepkema JD (2007) Oxygen responses, hemoglobin, and the structure and function of vesicles. *Nitrogen-Fixing Actinorhizal Symbioses, Nitrogen Fixation: Origins, Applications, and Research Progress*, eds Pawłowski K, Newton WE (Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands), pp 105–146.
70. Silvester WB, Harris SL, Tjepkema JD (1990) Oxygen regulation and hemoglobin. *The Biology of Frankia and Actinorhizal Plants*, eds Schwintzer CR, Tjepkema JD (Academic, New York), pp 157–176.
71. Rogers C, Oldroyd GED (2014) Synthetic biology approaches to engineering the nitrogen symbiosis in cereals. *J Exp Bot* 65:1939–1946.
72. Untergasser A, et al. (2012) One-step Agrobacterium mediated transformation of eight genes essential for rhizobium symbiotic signaling using the novel binary vector system pHUGE. *PLoS One* 7:e47885.
73. Cao Q, Op den Camp R, Kalhor MS, Bisseling T, Geurts R (2012) Efficiency of Agrobacterium rhizogenes-mediated root transformation of Parasponia and Trema is temperature dependent. *Plant Growth Regul* 68:459–465.
74. Davey MR, et al. (1993) Effective nodulation of micro-propagated shoots of the non-legume Parasponia andersonii by Bradyrhizobium. *J Exp Bot* 44:863–867.
75. Geurts R, de Jong H (2013) Fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) on pachytene chromosomes as a tool for genome characterization. *Methods Mol Biol* 1069:15–24.
76. Gnerre S, et al. (2011) High-quality draft assemblies of mammalian genomes from massively parallel sequence data. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108:1513–1518.
77. Boetzer M, Henkel CV, Jansen HJ, Butler D, Pirovano W (2011) Scaffolding pre-assembled contigs using SSPACE. *Bioinformatics* 27:578–579.
78. Parra G, Bradnam K, Korff I (2007) CEGMA: A pipeline to accurately annotate core genes in eukaryotic genomes. *Bioinformatics* 23:1061–1067.
79. Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM (2015) BUSCO: Assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. *Bioinformatics* 31:3210–3212.
80. Bao W, Kojima KK, Kohany O (2015) Repbase update, a database of repetitive elements in eukaryotic genomes. *Mob DNA* 6:11.
81. Gremme G, Steinbiss S, Kurtz S (2013) GenomeTools: A comprehensive software library for efficient processing of structured genome annotations. *IEEE/ACM Trans Comput Biol Bioinformatics* 10:645–656.
82. Han Y, Wessler SR (2010) MITE-Hunter: A program for discovering miniature inverted-repeat transposable elements from genomic sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res* 38:e199.
83. Grabherr MG, et al. (2011) Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-seq data without a reference genome. *Nat Biotechnol* 29:644–652.
84. Trapnell C, et al. (2010) Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. *Nat Biotechnol* 28:511–515.
85. Haas BJ, et al. (2013) De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq using the trinity platform for reference generation and analysis. *Nat Protoc* 8:1494–1512.
86. UniProt Consortium (2015) UniProt: A hub for protein information. *Nucleic Acids Res* 43:D204–D212.
87. Korff I (2004) Gene finding in novel genomes. *BMC Bioinformatics* 5:59.
88. Stanke M, Diekhans M, Baertsch R, Haussler D (2008) Using native and syntentically mapped cDNA alignments to improve de novo gene finding. *Bioinformatics* 24: 637–644.
89. Hoff KJ, Lange S, Lomsadze A, Borodovsky M, Stanke M (2016) BRAKER1: Unsupervised RNA-seq-based genome annotation with GeneMark-ET and AUGUSTUS. *Bioinformatics* 32:767–769.
90. Lomsadze A, Burns PD, Borodovsky M (2014) Integration of mapped RNA-seq reads into automatic training of eukaryotic gene finding algorithm. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42: e119.
91. Campbell MS, et al. (2014) MAKER-P: A tool kit for the rapid creation, management, and quality control of plant genome annotations. *Plant Physiol* 164:513–524.
92. Haas BJ, et al. (2008) Automated eukaryotic gene structure annotation using Evidence-Modeler and the program to assemble spliced alignments. *Genome Biol* 9:R7.
93. Kurtz S, et al. (2004) Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. *Genome Biol* 5:R12.
94. Otto TD, Dillon GP, Degraeve WS, Berriman M (2011) RATT: Rapid annotation transfer tool. *Nucleic Acids Res* 39:e57.
95. Emms DM, Kelly S (2015) OrthoFinder: Solving fundamental biases in whole genome comparisons dramatically improves orthogroup inference accuracy. *Genome Biol* 16:157.
96. Swarbreck D, et al. (2008) The Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR): Gene structure and function annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 36:D1009–D1014.
97. Myburg AA, et al. (2014) The genome of Eucalyptus grandis. *Nature* 510:356–362.
98. Tuskan GA, et al. (2006) The genome of black cottonwood, Populus trichocarpa (Torr. & Gray). *Science* 313:1596–1604.
99. Young ND, et al. (2011) The Medicago genome provides insight into the evolution of rhizobial symbioses. *Nature* 480:520–524.
100. Schmutz J, et al. (2010) Genome sequence of the paleopolyploid soybean. *Nature* 463:178–183.
101. Saitou N, Nei M (1987) The neighbor-joining method: A new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol Biol Evol* 4:406–425.
102. Valdar WSJ (2002) Scoring residue conservation. *Proteins* 48:227–241.
103. Kim D, Langmead B, Salzberg SL (2015) HISAT: A fast spliced aligner with low memory requirements. *Nat Methods* 12:357–360.
104. Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W (2014) featureCounts: An efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 30:923–930.